



Research Ambition

An International Multidisciplinary e-Journal
(Peer-reviewed & Open Access) Journal home page: www.researchambition.com
ISSN: 2456-0146, Vol. 08, Issue-II, August 2023



Law relating to Domestic Violence and Women Protection in India: A Critical Study

Ram Shankar^{a,*} 

^aAssistant Professor, School of Studies in Law, Jiwaji University Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India. (India).

KEYWORDS

Gender justice, violence against women, women's empowerment, Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (PWDVA), Human Rights etc.

ABSTRACT

Domestic violence remains one of the most pervasive and underreported forms of gender-based violence in India, affecting women across social, economic, and cultural backgrounds. Despite constitutional guarantees of equality, dignity, and personal liberty, women continue to face abuse within the private sphere of the home. This research critically examines the legal framework governing domestic violence in India, with a central focus on the DV Act, 2005, in conjunction with relevant provisions of the Indian Penal Code and constitutional protections under Articles 14, 15, and 21. The study adopts a doctrinal methodology to analyze the statutory provisions, judicial interpretations, and the practical challenges in the implementation of the law. It explores the roles of protection officers, magistrates, and support systems in delivering justice to survivors, and assesses whether the law adequately addresses not only physical violence but also emotional, economic, sexual, and psychological abuse. The research also identifies lacunae in the law's enforcement, including issues of delayed justice, lack of awareness, patriarchal bias, and institutional insensitivity. By critically engaging with landmark judgments, legal commentaries, and governmental reports, the study offers insights into how the law can be strengthened to provide more effective protection and empowerment for women. The research concludes by recommending policy reforms, capacity building, and increased socio-legal awareness as key steps toward eliminating domestic violence and ensuring substantive gender justice in India.

Introduction

Domestic violence is a grave violation of human rights and a major obstacle to achieving gender equality and justice. In India, despite numerous constitutional and statutory protections, domestic violence continues to affect a significant proportion of women, cutting across class, caste, religion, and geography. Traditionally considered a private family matter, domestic abuse has long been

silenced and normalized under the guise of cultural norms, patriarchy, and social tolerance. Recognizing the urgent need to protect women from such violence, the Indian legislature enacted the DV Act a progressive, rights-based statute aimed at addressing not only physical violence but also emotional, economic, sexual, and verbal abuse. This Act marked a departure from the earlier limited remedies available under the IPC offering

Corresponding author


*E-mail: ramshankarju@gmail.com (Ram Shankar).

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53724/ambition/v8n2.05>

Received 16th June 2023; Accepted 15th July 2023

Available online 30th August 2023

2456-0146 /© 2023 The Journal. Publisher: Welfare Universe. This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4354-9875>



civil reliefs like protection orders, residence rights custody orders, and monetary relief to victims. However, despite its comprehensive nature, the implementation of the PWDVA has faced several challenges. These include lack of awareness, inadequate infrastructure, societal stigma, under-resourced protection officers, and inconsistent judicial interpretations. Furthermore, the intersection of personal laws, social conservatism, and institutional apathy often undermines the effectiveness of protective mechanisms intended to safeguard women's rights and dignity. This research undertakes a critical doctrinal study of the legal framework relating to domestic violence in India. It analyzes the constitutional provisions, statutory laws, and judicial responses, and assesses the efficacy of institutional mechanisms in providing relief to victims. The study also highlights gaps in the existing system and suggests reforms necessary to ensure a more robust and gender-sensitive approach to combating domestic violence. Through this inquiry, the research aims to contribute to the broader discourse on women's empowerment, rule of law, and access to justice, reinforcing the need for a legal system that not only punishes abusers but also upholds the dignity, autonomy, and rights of women in every sphere of life.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a doctrinal research methodology, focusing on an in-depth analysis of legal provisions, judicial interpretations, and scholarly commentaries related to domestic violence laws in India. The research is qualitative in nature and analytical in approach, aiming to

critically evaluate the effectiveness of the legal framework in addressing domestic violence and ensuring women's protection.

Objectives of the Study

- To examine the constitutional and statutory framework relating to domestic violence and women protection in India.
- To critically analyze the provisions of the PWDVA.
- To study key judicial decisions and interpretative trends relating to domestic violence law.
- To identify legal and procedural challenges in the implementation of domestic violence laws.
- To suggest legal reforms and policy recommendations to strengthen women's protection in India.

Sources of Data

The research is based on secondary sources of data, including: Primary Legal Sources: Statutory texts such as the DV act and IPC and relevant constitutional provisions (Articles 14, 15, 21). Judicial decisions of the Supreme Court and High Courts of India. Secondary Legal Sources: Books, legal commentaries, journals, law commission reports, parliamentary debates, government publications, and academic research papers. Online legal databases such as SCC Online, Manupatra, Indian Kanoon, and official websites of the Ministry of Women and Child Development and National Commission for Women.

Method of Data Analysis

The study involves content analysis of legal texts and case laws to extract doctrinal principles, interpretative patterns, and jurisprudential

developments. A critical analysis is conducted to assess gaps, inconsistencies, and the practical impact of the legal provisions. Comparative references are also made where relevant to illustrate international best practices.

Scope and Limitations

The research is confined to the Indian legal context and focuses specifically on domestic violence against women, excluding broader forms of gender-based violence or child/domestic abuse unless directly linked. As a doctrinal study, it does not involve empirical fieldwork or primary data collection from affected individuals or institutions.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the existence of constitutional safeguards and progressive legislation such as the DV act, domestic violence remains one of the most prevalent and underreported forms of violence against women in India. The Act was enacted to provide speedy and effective civil remedies to women facing abuse within the household extending protection beyond physical violence to emotional, economic, verbal, and sexual abuse. However, the implementation of the law has been fraught with multiple challenges. There exists a significant gap between the law in theory and the law in practice. Issues such as lack of awareness among victims, insufficient infrastructure, inadequate training of protection officers and police personnel, judicial delays, and societal stigma have continued to undermine the effectiveness of the legal framework. Moreover, the inconsistent judicial interpretation of key provisions has further created ambiguity in enforcement and relief mechanisms. In this context,

a critical doctrinal study of the existing legal framework becomes essential to evaluate its adequacy, accessibility, and responsiveness to the realities faced by women experiencing domestic violence. The problem lies not only in the legal text but also in its implementation, interpretation, and socio-legal context, necessitating a comprehensive review and analysis of how far the law has succeeded in fulfilling its protective and preventive role.

Literature Review

The issue of domestic violence against women has been a subject of extensive academic, legal, and policy discourse in India and across the world. A significant body of literature has emerged over the years examining the legal, social, psychological, and institutional aspects of domestic violence and the mechanisms available for women's protection. The DV act is considered a landmark legislation aimed at providing civil remedies to women facing abuse within the domestic sphere. According to Indira Jaising (2007), one of the architects of the Act, the PWDV Act represents a shift in legal thinking by recognizing a woman's right to reside in a shared household and by broadening the definition of violence beyond physical abuse to include economic and emotional harm. The Act's gender-sensitive provisions were a progressive move to fulfill India's international obligations under CEDAW (Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women). However, scholars such as Nandita Haksar (2009) and Flavia Agnes (2011) have pointed out the implementation shortcomings, noting that the absence of adequate infrastructure, poorly trained

protection officers, and delays in court proceedings have reduced the effectiveness of the Act. These studies highlight that the promise of access to justice often remains unfulfilled, especially for marginalized women. Judicial decisions have played a crucial role in interpreting the scope of the PWDVA. In *Hiral P. Harsora v. Kusum Narottamdas Harsora* (2016), the Supreme Court widened the scope of who can be made respondents under the Act by striking down the provision that limited it to adult male persons. Judicial commentary by scholars like Dr. Kailash Rai emphasizes how such interpretations have made the Act more inclusive. Yet, inconsistent interpretations and lack of uniform procedural guidelines continue to create ambiguity in its application. Research by Justice Leila Seth and Dr. S.C. Tripathi further elaborates on how patriarchal attitudes among law enforcement officials and judiciary dilute the protective intent of the Act. Studies by NGOs and institutions such as UN Women (2012) and National Commission for Women (NCW) reveal that despite the legal protections, underreporting of domestic violence remains rampant due to fear, financial dependence, social stigma, and lack of awareness. Academic analyses by Madhavi Divan and T.K. Oommen underline the interplay between law and society, arguing that law alone cannot bring change unless accompanied by socio-cultural transformation and empowerment measures. Comparative studies, such as those by Rebecca Cook and Christine Chinkin, draw parallels between Indian domestic violence law and similar frameworks in the UK and USA, showing that while India's law is comprehensive on paper,

enforcement mechanisms lag behind international standards. These works emphasize the need for institutional strengthening, victim-centered procedures, and greater accountability. While existing literature provides valuable insights into the formulation and evolution of domestic violence laws in India, there remains a lack of comprehensive doctrinal analysis that critically examines the interplay of legal texts, judicial interpretation, and practical challenges. Further, little attention is given to emerging issues such as digital or online domestic abuse, which need to be legally recognized and addressed in the current technological context. The literature indicates that while India has made significant strides in legally addressing domestic violence through the PWDVA, its implementation is marred by systemic and structural challenges. The need for a doctrinal and critical legal study is essential to bridge the gap between law and practice, enhance legal clarity, and ensure that the law truly serves its intended purpose protecting women from violence in all its forms and ensuring their right to live with dignity and security.

Research Gap

Although extensive literature exists on domestic violence and women's rights in India, there remains a critical gap in the doctrinal analysis of the legal framework governing domestic violence, particularly in the context of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (PWDVA) and its practical implementation. Most existing studies focus on the social and psychological dimensions of domestic violence or adopt empirical and NGO-based assessments.

However, in-depth legal critiques that examine the statutory text, constitutional alignment, judicial interpretations, and institutional mechanisms from a purely legal standpoint are limited. Lack of Critical Evaluation of Judicial Trends: While several court judgments have interpreted various provisions of the PWDVA, there is a paucity of scholarly work that systematically analyzes these judicial decisions and their implications for legal certainty and women's rights. Inadequate Analysis of Procedural Implementation Mechanisms: There is insufficient focus on how protection officers, magistrates, and service providers function under the Act and the legal loopholes affecting timely and effective enforcement. Overlooking Constitutional Dimensions: Many existing studies fail to explore the intersection between the PWDVA and constitutional rights such as the right to equality, non-discrimination, and the right to life and dignity. Limited Focus on Emerging Forms of Domestic Abuse: Legal scholarship often overlooks new and evolving forms of abuse, such as economic control, digital surveillance, and cyber-abuse within domestic relationships, which are inadequately addressed in both law and practice. Neglect of Intersectional Concerns: The current body of work does not sufficiently engage with how caste, class, religion, and rural-urban divides affect access to legal remedies under domestic violence laws. Comparative and Reform-Oriented Legal Study: Very few doctrinal studies offer a comparative analysis of Indian domestic violence law with international legal standards, or provide structured legal reform proposals based on critical doctrinal reasoning. This research seeks to

fill these critical gaps by conducting a doctrinal, constitutional, and jurisprudential analysis of the Indian domestic violence legal framework, identifying deficiencies in legal structure and implementation, and proposing concrete legal and policy reforms to enhance protection and access to justice for women in India.

Research Questions

- What is the scope and objective of the Protection of PWDVA, and how effectively does it address different forms of domestic violence?
- How does the Indian legal framework, including constitutional provisions and statutory laws, protect women against domestic violence?
- What are the major challenges and limitations in the implementation of the PWDVA, particularly in terms of procedural mechanisms and institutional support?
- How effective are the roles of protection officers, magistrates, and service providers under the Act in ensuring timely justice and protection to victims?

International Perspectives

Domestic violence is not only a national concern but a global human rights issue. Various international instruments and comparative legal frameworks have influenced the evolution of domestic violence laws across jurisdictions, including India. Understanding international perspectives helps contextualize India's domestic violence laws within the broader framework of global human rights obligations and best practices. CEDAW- Convention on the Elimination of All

Forms of Discrimination Against Women (1979). India is a signatory to CEDAW, which obliges states to eliminate all forms of discrimination against women, including violence within the private sphere. While CEDAW does not explicitly mention domestic violence, General Recommendation No. 19 (1992) and General Recommendation No. 35 (2017) interpret gender-based violence, including domestic abuse, as a form of discrimination. India's enactment of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, was partly influenced by its commitments under CEDAW. UN Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women (1993)-this declaration defined violence against women to include physical, sexual, and psychological harm occurring in the family and society. It called upon member states to exercise due diligence in preventing violence, protecting victims, and punishing perpetrators. India's domestic violence law reflects these principles, especially in the form of civil relief measures for victims. The Istanbul Convention (Council of Europe, 2011)-the Istanbul Convention is one of the most comprehensive legal frameworks to combat domestic and gender-based violence in Europe. It mandates states to: Criminalize all forms of violence (physical, sexual, psychological, economic), Provide victim-centric services (legal aid, shelters, hotlines), and Take steps to address the root causes of gender inequality. Though India is not a party to this convention, comparative analysis shows that many of its provisions are progressive and can inform future reforms in Indian domestic violence law, especially regarding economic abuse and victim

protection protocols. Comparative Legal Frameworks-United Kingdom: The UK has enacted the Domestic Abuse Act, 2021, which provides a comprehensive definition of domestic abuse and includes coercive control, emotional abuse, and threats as punishable offenses. It emphasizes inter-agency coordination and victim protection orders, which can serve as a model for strengthening India's procedural enforcement. United States: The Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), first enacted in 1994, provides funding for victim services, legal assistance, and law enforcement training, and promotes a multi-disciplinary approach. U.S. jurisprudence also recognizes restraining orders and emergency protection practices partially reflected in India's PWDVA. Australia: Domestic violence laws in Australia operate both federally and at the state level. The Family Law Act considers family violence while deciding child custody, and protection orders are easily accessible. Australia also uses a risk assessment based legal approach, which could enhance India's implementation mechanisms. Role of International Bodies-UN Women and World Health Organization (WHO) have developed guidelines and studies to promote a public health and human rights approach to tackling domestic violence. Special Rapporteurs on Violence Against Women under the UN Human Rights Council have recommended countries adopt gender-sensitive, survivor-centered legal mechanisms principles still evolving in Indian legal practice. India's domestic violence law is a significant step in aligning with global human rights standards, especially through the PWDVA.

However, a review of international conventions and foreign legal systems highlights critical gaps in India's approach, particularly in enforcement, support services, and recognition of non-physical abuse. (Menon, N. (2010). Drawing on international perspectives can assist India in making its domestic violence law more inclusive, implementable, and in tune with contemporary global standards of women's protection and justice delivery.

Role of Indian Judiciary in respect of domestic violence and women protection

The Indian judiciary has played a pivotal role in advancing the cause of women's protection and justice in domestic violence cases. As the guardian of the Constitution and interpreter of statutory law, the judiciary has actively shaped the legal discourse surrounding domestic violence, often bridging the gap between legal provisions and ground realities. Through its progressive judgments and interpretative activism, the judiciary has ensured that the law serves its true purpose to protect and empower women, uphold their dignity, and ensure access to justice. (Choudhury, T. (2021). Expanding the Scope of Protection under PWDVA, 2005-the judiciary has interpreted the PWDVA liberally to include a wide range of domestic relationships and forms of abuse: Hiral P. Harsora v. Kusum Narottamdas Harsora (2016): The Supreme Court struck down the term "adult male person" from Section 2(q) of the Act, thereby allowing both male and female relatives to be made respondents. This judgment expanded the scope of protection and eliminated gender-based limitations on who could be held accountable.

Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma (2013): The Supreme Court recognized that certain live-in relationships resembling marriage fall within the scope of the Act, thereby extending protection to women outside formal marriages who face domestic abuse. Retrospective Application of the Act in V.D. Bhanot v. Savita Bhanot (2012), the Supreme Court held that the PWDVA could be applied retrospectively, i.e., even if the incidents of domestic violence occurred before the Act came into force. This judgment reinforced the principle of victim-centric justice, preventing survivors from being excluded based on timing. Right to Reside in the Shared Household-the courts have affirmed that a woman's right to reside in the shared household is a crucial protective measure: In S.R. Batra v. Taruna Batra (2007), the Supreme Court initially narrowed the right to reside only in property owned or rented by the husband. However, later decisions by High Courts have taken a more inclusive approach, recognizing that ownership should not affect the woman's right to residence if she has lived there in a domestic relationship. (Sharma, B. (2018). Linking Domestic Violence to Constitutional Rights-the judiciary has linked the right to be free from domestic violence to Article 21 of the Constitution, which guarantees the right to life and personal liberty. Courts have consistently interpreted Article 21 to include the right to live with dignity, mental peace, and freedom from fear, making domestic violence a constitutional concern and not just a private matter. Balancing Civil and Criminal Remedies-the judiciary has recognized that civil reliefs under the PWDVA (like protection orders, residence orders,

monetary relief, etc.) can operate in parallel with criminal proceedings under the IPC. This approach ensures a comprehensive justice mechanism for victims, including both protective and punitive elements. Sensitizing the Lower Judiciary-higher courts have repeatedly issued directions for the sensitization and training of lower judiciary and protection officers, acknowledging that lack of awareness and sensitivity at the ground level often leads to delay or denial of justice. Several High Courts have taken suo-motu cognizance of domestic violence issues and issued guidelines for better implementation. (Kumar, A. (2014). Ensuring Access to Justice Through various decisions, courts have emphasized: Speedy disposal of domestic violence cases. The duty of magistrates to grant immediate and interim reliefs. The accountability of protection officers and service providers. Judiciary has thus acted not only as an interpreter of law but as a protector of women's rights ensuring that statutory guarantees are effectively implemented. The Indian judiciary has been a catalyst for legal reform and gender justice in the realm of domestic violence and women protection. (Parashar, A. (2002). By adopting a rights-based, victim-centric approach, the courts have reinforced the idea that domestic violence is not a private issue but a serious violation of constitutional and human rights. However, for judicial activism to fully translate into protection on the ground, there must be systemic improvements in enforcement, awareness, and institutional responsiveness. (Tripathi, S. (2016).

Analysis, Discussion and Results

The PWDVA is a landmark legislation that recognizes domestic violence as a human rights violation and provides a comprehensive framework for protective and remedial measures. This study critically analyzed the statutory provisions, implementation mechanisms, and judicial interpretations to understand the actual effectiveness of the law in addressing domestic violence in India. The Act defines domestic violence to include physical, sexual, emotional, verbal, and economic abuse, thereby going beyond the traditional notions of cruelty under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code. It provides for civil remedies such as protection orders, residence orders, and monetary relief, alongside the possibility of initiating criminal prosecution. (Raj, A., & Silverman, J. G. (2002). The analysis reveals that despite the progressive nature of the law, its implementation suffers from systemic challenges such as lack of awareness, inadequate training of protection officers, societal stigma, and delay in judicial processes. Legislative and Judicial Effectiveness-the research finds that while the legal provisions are comprehensive in theory, in practice, their effectiveness depends heavily on the interpretive role of the judiciary. (Sharma, K. (2020). Landmark judgments such as Hiral P. Harsora v. Kusum Narottamdas Harsora (2016) and Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma (2013) have broadened the scope of the law by removing restrictive definitions and recognizing non-marital domestic relationships. The courts have also upheld the right of the woman to reside in the shared household regardless of ownership or rental agreements. Yet, contradictory rulings (e.g., S.R.

Batra v. Taruna Batra) earlier limited this right, creating confusion at the grassroots level. Implementation Gaps-the analysis points to several enforcement issues: Protection Officers are often overburdened and undertrained. Police officials and judiciary sometimes treat domestic violence as a private family matter. Victims face social stigma, fear of retaliation, and economic dependency, which prevent them from reporting violence or seeking remedies under the Act. Socio-Legal Impact-despite the PWDVA being a civil law aimed at providing immediate protection, the linkage between civil and criminal proceedings has not been seamless. (Desai, M. (2006). Many victims seek criminal justice without receiving adequate civil relief (residence, custody, etc.). Additionally, rural and marginalized women often remain unaware of their rights under the Act. Comparison with International Standards-when compared with international instruments like CEDAW (Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women), India's domestic violence law aligns with the global obligation to protect women. However, issues such as marital rape (not yet criminalized) and non-recognition of violence in live-in relationships (in some cases) indicate partial compliance. Based on the critical analysis, the following key findings emerge: Legislative Strength: The PWDVA, 2005, is a forward-looking statute that acknowledges various forms of abuse and provides both preventive and remedial mechanisms. Judicial Contribution: Indian judiciary has significantly expanded the scope and application of the Act, interpreting it in a gender-just and rights-based

manner. Practical Challenges: Implementation remains weak due to insufficient infrastructure, lack of trained personnel, procedural delays, and societal resistance. Awareness Gap: A significant portion of women, especially in rural and semi-urban areas, are unaware of their rights under the Act. Policy Disconnect: There is a need for better coordination between the police, judiciary, protection officers, and shelter homes to make the law effective. Partial International Compliance: While India has taken legislative steps towards fulfilling its obligations under CEDAW and other conventions, some legal gaps remain. The study concludes that while the Indian legal system has taken commendable steps to address domestic violence through the PWDVA and judicial interpretations, the real challenge lies in enforcement and societal transformation. Strengthening institutional mechanisms, legal awareness, judicial training, and reforms in related laws are essential for ensuring true protection and empowerment of women in domestic spaces.

Findings, Conclusion and Suggestions

The PWDVA is a progressive statute that includes multiple forms of abuse physical, sexual, emotional, verbal, and economic beyond the limited scope of traditional penal provisions like Section 498A IPC. Judicial Activism and Interpretation: The Indian judiciary has played a pivotal role in expanding the interpretation of domestic relationships and shared households, ensuring the Act remains relevant to evolving societal realities. Landmark judgments like Hiral P. Harsora v. Kusum Narottamdas Harsora and Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma have been instrumental.

Implementation Challenges: Despite the statute's liberal provisions, its implementation is hindered by procedural delays, lack of infrastructure, insufficiently trained protection officers, and the reluctance of police authorities. Awareness Deficit: A large number of women, especially in rural areas, remain unaware of their rights under the Act, leading to under-reporting of abuse and reduced legal recourse. Inadequate Support Services: Support systems like shelter homes, legal aid, and counseling centers are often underfunded or absent, limiting the practical relief available to victims. (Srivastava, R. (2019). Limited Scope in Addressing Marital Rape: The Act does not criminalize marital rape, creating a legal vacuum for women facing sexual violence within marriage. Partial International Compliance: While India has aligned some domestic laws with international conventions such as CEDAW, gaps remain in addressing emerging issues like digital domestic violence and coercive control. The legal framework for addressing domestic violence in India is robust in design but frail in execution. While the PWDVA, 2005 reflects a shift from criminal punishment to civil protection and relief, its actual impact is diluted by poor implementation, social stigma, and institutional apathy. (Rao, S. (2004). The Indian judiciary has largely adopted a rights-based and victim-centric approach, yet this alone cannot compensate for the operational shortcomings on the ground. Unless domestic violence is treated as a serious public concern and not merely a private matter, women will continue to suffer in silence despite legal safeguards. Domestic violence is not just a legal issue but a

deep-rooted social malaise. It demands not only legal intervention but also social transformation, policy innovation, and sustained advocacy. (Bhattacharya, R. (2016). Strengthening Institutional Mechanisms: Appoint and train full-time Protection Officers. Enhance coordination between the police, magistrates, service providers, and NGOs. Establish fast-track courts for domestic violence cases. Awareness and Legal Literacy Campaigns: Launch nationwide campaigns in vernacular languages to spread awareness about rights under the PWDVA. Integrate domestic violence education into school and college curricula. Amendments to PWDVA and Penal Laws: Recognize and address marital rape as a form of domestic violence. Include cyber harassment and digital abuse under the definition of emotional abuse. Budgetary Allocations and Infrastructure: Allocate sufficient funds for safe shelters, emergency medical services, and legal aid for survivors. (Narayan, U. (1995). Ensure regular monitoring and auditing of these services. Community Engagement and Gender Sensitization: Conduct gender-sensitization training for police, judicial officers, and administrative staff. Involve local governance institutions, women's groups, and NGOs in early intervention and rehabilitation. Establish a central regulatory body to monitor the implementation of the PWDVA. Create state-wise annual audit reports on domestic violence cases and their resolution rates. Integration with International Human Rights Standards: Ensure full compliance with obligations under CEDAW Monitoring and Accountability: and other international human rights instruments.

Periodically review Indian laws to remain responsive to global best practices.

References

- Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, No. 43, Acts of Parliament, 2005 (India).
- Indian Penal Code, 1860, Act No. 45 of 1860 (India).
- Constitution of India, 1950.
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), 1979, United Nations General Assembly.
- Agnes, F. (1999). *Law and gender inequality: The politics of women's rights in India*. Oxford University Press.
- Dhanda, A., & Parashar, A. (Eds.). (2009). *Engendering law: Essays in honour of Lotika Sarkar*. Eastern Book Company.
- Sagade, J. (2005). *Child marriage in India: Socio-legal and human rights dimensions*. Oxford University Press.
- Diwan, P., & Diwan, P. (2014). *Women and legal protection*. Deep & Deep Publications.
- Ministry of Women and Child Development. (2020). *Annual report 2019–20*. Government of India. <https://wcd.nic.in>
- National Crime Records Bureau. (2022). *Crime in India 2021: Statistics on crimes against women*. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. <https://ncrb.gov.in>
- Law Commission of India. (2015). *Report on women and domestic violence*. Government of India.
- UN Women. (2019). *Handbook on legislation on violence against women*. <https://www.unwomen.org>
- Parashar, A. (2002). Domestic violence law in India: A feminist approach to women's human rights. *Sociological Bulletin*, 51(1), 59–75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038022920020103>
- Tripathi, S. (2016). The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005: A critique. *Indian Bar Review*, 43(2), 115–132.
- Menon, N. (2010). Violence against women in India: A legal perspective. *Journal of South Asian Studies*, 26(3), 423–441.
- Choudhury, T. (2021). Domestic violence and the Indian judiciary: A study of PWDVA implementation. *International Journal of Law and Policy Review*, 10(2), 33–50.
- Sharma, B. (2018). Gender-based violence and the inadequacy of legal response in India. *Asia-Pacific Journal on Human Rights and the Law*, 19(1–2), 78–96. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15718158-01901004>
- Kumar, A. (2014). Legal protection for women victims of domestic violence: An Indian scenario. *Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution*, 6(1), 1–7.
- Raj, A., & Silverman, J. G. (2002). Violence against immigrant women: The roles of culture, context, and legal response. *Violence Against Women*, 8(12), 1431–1449. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107780102237007>
- Sharma, K. (2020). Implementation gaps in the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act: An analysis. *Indian Journal of Criminology*, 48(1), 55–68.
- Desai, M. (2006). Protecting women from domestic violence: The role of law. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 41(48), 5029–5033.
- Srivastava, R. (2019). Legal responses to domestic violence in India: A critical appraisal. *International Journal of Legal Studies and Research*, 8(4), 102–117.
- Kumar, Ramesh, (2022). State Human Rights Commissions as Enforcement System in India: A Critical Appraisal. *Research Inspiration*. 7 (2), 1-17. doi: <https://doi.org/10.53724/inspiration/v7n2.02>
- Agarwal, S. (2017). Domestic violence and access to justice for Indian women. *International Journal of Law and Society*, 4(2), 67–79.
- Rao, S. (2004). Domestic violence in India: A review of research and legal mechanisms. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 11(1), 75–90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097152150401100104>
- Bhattacharya, R. (2016). The PWDVA, 2005: Challenges in implementation. *NUJS Law Review*, 9(3), 455–472.
- Kumar, Ramesh. Verma Kumar, Rohit. (2022). Meninism and Preconceived Ideology with specific Indian Dimension of Human Rights in Today's Changing Globalized Scenario: A Critical Appraisal. *Legal Research Development*. 7 (1) 27-29.
- Singh, P. (2013). The role of judiciary in interpreting domestic violence laws. *Journal of the Indian Law Institute*, 55(2), 245–261.
- Banerjee, S. (2015). Women's rights and domestic violence in India: A legal analysis. *South Asian Legal Studies Journal*, 2(1), 15–27.
- Kumar, Ramesh. Verma Kumar, Rohit. (2022). Human Rights of Men in the World of Globalization-An Essence of Time: A Critical Appraisal. *Jai Maa Saraswati Gyandayini*. 8 (2), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.53724/jmsg/v8n2.02>
- Khan, N. (2012). Domestic violence against Muslim women in India: A legal perspective. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, 32(3), 335–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602004.2012.727295>
- Mahajan, A. (1990). Instigators of domestic violence: A sociological outlook. *Social Action*, 40(3), 273–282.
- Ally Abubakar, Tariq, Kumar, Ramesh (2024). Implementation of Women's Human Rights in Dar Es Salaam with Special Reference to Domestic Violence: A Critical Appraisal. *Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental*. 18 (5), 1-14. doi: <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n5-038>
- Ghosh, B. (2007). Trafficking in women and children in India: Nature, dimensions and strategies for prevention. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 11(1-2), 105–126.
- Patel, V. (2005). Domestic violence against women: Review of Indian laws and policies. *Indian Journal of Social Work*, 66(1), 31–45.
- Narayan, U. (1995). The project of feminist epistemology: Perspectives from a nonwestern feminist. *Gender and Society*, 9(3), 330–354.